

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/388,609	09/02/1999	FISSEHA MEKURIA	2466-36	4221
75	90 04/10/2002			
NIXON & VANDERHYE PC			EXAMINER	
1100 N GLEBE ARLINGTON,	RD 8TH FLOOR VA 22201		ARMSTRONG, ANGELA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2654	
			DATE MAILED: 04/10/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	09/388,609	MEKURIA, FISSEHA			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Angela A. Armstrong	2654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 14 March 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.			
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) They raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);			
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment		
5.⊠ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)⊠ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does N	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or t ould be rejected is provided bel	o) will be entered ow or appended.	and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exar	miner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·			
10. Other:					

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Although applicant argues that Basore et al doesn't select applications in order to recognize speech, the Examiner disagrees and argues that for the system of Basore to generate an appropriate response to the user's spoken input, the system must perform speech recognition.

Thus, the system may select applications for providing responses to the spoken input of the user or other purposes and functions, but the system uses the vocabulary of the selected application to recognize the user's spoken input and provide an appropriate response.

TALIVALDIS IVARS SMITS
PRIMARY EXAMINER